

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/630,126	ROMANYSZYN, MICHAEL T.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	David A Reifsnyder	1723	

All Participants:
Status of Application: To Be Allowed

 (1) David A Reifsnyder.

(3) _____.

 (2) Christopher Makay.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 17 June 2004
Time: PM
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Potential Double Patenting Rejection of claim 46 or claim 48.

Claims discussed:

46 and 48

Prior art documents discussed:

none
Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
The discussion of the Interview can be found in the Examiner Amendment which is attached to the Notice of Allowability.
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)